



# Grant Assessment

## Application Assessment

<b>1. The applicant describes the problem or issue.</b>		
<b>A.</b> Statement of the problem/issue is clear.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left
<b>B.</b> The root cause of the problem / issue has been identified.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left
<b>C.</b> Ample background information is given including previous work done regarding the problem or issue.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left
<b>2. The applicant explains how/why the issue creates a problem for the local.</b>		
<b>A.</b> The importance of the problem/issue is known within the local	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left
<b>B.</b> There is evidence of the negative impact the problem/issue has on the local.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left
<b>C.</b> The valid need for change regarding the problem/ issues is apparent.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left
<b>3. The applicant explains how/why the action will improve the situation and promote effectiveness of the local</b>		
<b>A.</b> The local(s)' rationale for the proposed action is clearly stated.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u>  Rate this question Below using the 5- Point scale at the left

B. Specific aims of the project are focused on increasing the effectiveness of the local.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
C. There is evidence of sustainability of the desired project outcomes.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left

<b>4. The applicant identifies the items/activities for which the funds will be expended.</b>		
A. The budget for the items/activities is appropriate and justified	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
B. Funded Items/activities represent cost effective benefits to the local	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
C. Accountability measures are apparent.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left

<b>5. The applicant provides a timeline and persons responsible for the execution of the plan.</b>		
A. Methodology for implementation is clear and understandable.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
B. The execution of the plan is feasible.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
C. There is evidence of stakeholder support.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left

<b>6. The applicant describe specific objectives of the program.</b>		
--	--	--

A. Objectives are in alignment with the desired outcomes of the project.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
B. Objectives are measurable and feasible.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
C. Objectives are indicative of an innovative project approach.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left

7. The applicant outlines specific measurements for assessing the success of the program.		
A. The method of measurement is appropriate.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
B. The method of data collection is feasible and reliable.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left
C. Project monitoring is ongoing – benchmarks are set.	<u>5 Point Rating Scale:</u> 5 – is outstanding 4 – is better than expected 3 – is adequate 2 – is marginal 1 – is unacceptable	<u>Rating:</u> Rate this question Below using the 5-Point scale at the left

The statement of purpose of the grant proposal is aligned with the stated vision mission and goals of the NCOEA:  yes  no

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

Grant Applicant(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Score: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature and Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature and Date

Grants must be submitted to:  
NCOEA c/o Becky Cashell 295 Chapman Way Lexington OH 44904  
ncoeabecky@gmail.com